



LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/470,100	12/21/1999	YUMIKO MINIKAWA	80398.P274	5774
7590 07/01/2005			EXAMINER	
MARIA MCCORMACK SOBRINO			SHELEHEDA, JAMES R	
BLAKELY SO	KOLOFF TAYLOR & ZA	AFMAN LLP		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7TH FLOOR			2617	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/470,100	MINIKAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
James Sheleheda	2617	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔀 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below): (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. \square For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \square will not be entered, or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 🔯 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. 🔲 Other: ___ VIVEK SRIVASTAVA

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In response to applicant's arguments on pages 6-8 of applicant's response, Broberg specifically teaches automatic channel selection wherein the channel selections are defaulted to specific values in response to the prediction that there is an overlap in a portion of the channels (channels 100-115; see Broberg at column 5, lines 40-45) and wherein other channels predicted to have no overlap are defaulted to a different source (channels 2-99; see Broberg at column 5, lines 40-45). As admitted in the previous rejections, Broberg fails to specifically disclose detecting if channels are actually overlapping.

Stinebruner specifically discloses a system wherein channels from one source (in this case, local channels) are automatically incorporated at their corresponding virtual channel numbers (see Stinebruner at column 11, lines 50-53) and existing channels from other sources (i.e. overlapping channels) are moved to other virtual channels (see Stinebruner at column 11, lines 50-53). Detecting that the specific channels are overlapping and then assigning them to the local programming and reasigning the channels from the other source, more then meets the claim limitation of selecting a source in response to detecting the channel is overlapping or non-overlapping.

In response to applicant's argument that Broberg only teaches predicting a channel overlap and Stinebruner is merely assigning local channels with their corresponding number, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

More specifically, Broberg discloses selecting a default source based on the prediction that they are overlapping. As indicated in the previous rejection, he fails to specifically disclose "detecting". Stinebruner is merely relied upon to teach the capability of detection of an overlap condition between plural sources. Assigning a specific source to the "overlapping" channels was disclosed by Broberg. Detection, as taught by Stinebruner, provides an obviously more accurate method to determine if channels truly overlap and which source they should be assigned to.